Writing Explanations: Provoking Different Knowledge Bases by Context

Yvonne Lai University of Nebraska-Lincoln Erin Baldinger University of Minnesota

Recent research shows the promise of using tasks that situate mathematics in a pedagogical context for secondary teachers, including tasks where teachers are asked to explain a solution to a mathematical task. We use a theory of positionality (Aaron, 2011; Herbst & Chazan, 2003, 2011) to make sense of why explanations might differ when the solver is positioned as a secondary teacher as compared to positioned as a university mathematics student.

Keywords: positionality, mathematical knowledge for teaching

In this poster, we examine the research question: When positioned as a teacher as opposed to positioned as a university mathematics student, what differences in knowledge bases emerge when solving mathematics tasks? To address this question, we interviewed 17 practicing secondary teachers. They wrote explanations to two versions of a task adapted from Biza, Nardi, and Zachariades (2007), first in the context of a university course and second in the context of high school teaching. Using the results of three teachers whose solutions were mathematically valid, we make the argument that positioning as a teacher can elicit the development of mathematical knowledge for teaching (MKT: Ball, Thames, & Phelps, 2008; Silverman & Thompson, 2008) in ways that are not activated when positioned as a university mathematics student. We contribute an illustration of this phenomenon and extend the results of Biza et al. (2007). Figure 1 shows the tasks and positioning as presented to participants.

Positioning as university student	Positioning as secondary teacher
Your mathematics professor assigns this problem	You plan to assign this problem to your high school
during a unit on mathematical justification.	student during a unit on mathematical justification.
Explain why the equation $ x + x + 1 = 0$ has no	<i>Explain why the equation</i> $ x + x + 1 = 0$ has no
solutions.	solutions.
Write a solution that you would hand in to the	Write a solution that you would share with students this
professor of this course.	course.
<i>solutions.</i> Write a solution that you would hand in to the	<i>solutions.</i> Write a solution that you would share with students this

Figure 1. Absolute Value Task, adapted from Biza et al. (2007)

The explanations differed based on context, as exemplified by the quotes in Figure 2. In the university context, these participants primarily summarized their deductive reasoning. When positioned as a teacher, they used more representations and attended explicitly to student thinking and instructional moves to guide student thinking. They discussed how to help students generate conviction that the statement is true, but none discussed motivating this idea in the university context. Thus, in the position of secondary teacher, but not university student, participants engaged in all of Silverman and Thompson's (2008) practices for developing MKT.

participants engaged in an or silverman and Thompson's (2000) practices for developing with 1.	
Positioning as university student	Positioning as secondary teacher
This task "requires you to know a lot about what	"I think [students' knowledge about absolute value is] a good
it means to justify it that it works for all the	place to start because if they have that knowledge, then they can
cases, is important understand how absolute	play with it and make drawings and use number lines and see
values work and how to prove things	what's happening and from there convince them self and justify
surrounding them."	whatever they're saying."

Figure 2. Differences in explanations by context

We acknowledge funding from the Edgerton Foundation that supported this research.

References

- Aaron, W. R. (2011). The position of the student in geometry instruction: A study from three perspectives. University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI. Unpublished dissertation. Retrieved from https://deepblue.lib.umich.edu/handle/2027.42/84625
- Ball, D. L., Thames, M. H., & Phelps, G. C. (2008). Content knowledge for teaching: What makes it special? *Journal of Teacher Education*, 59(5), 389–407. http://doi.org/10.1177/0022487108324554
- Biza, I., Nardi, E., & Zachariades, T. (2007). Using tasks to explore teacher knowledge in situation-specific contexts. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, *10*(4–6), 301–309. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-007-9043-y
- Herbst, P. G., & Chazan, D. (2011). On creating and using representations of mathematics teaching in research and teacher development. *ZDM Mathematics Education*, 43(1), 1–5. http://doi.org/10.1007/s11858-011-0306-9
- Herbst, P. G., & Chazan, D. (2003). Exploring the practical rationality of mathematics teaching through conversations about videotaped episodes: The case of engaging students in proving. *For the Learning of Mathematics*, 23(1), 2–14. Retrieved from http://www.jstor.org/stable/40248404
- Silverman, J., & Thompson, P. W. (2008). Toward a framework for the development of mathematical knowledge for teaching. *Journal of Mathematics Teacher Education*, 11(6), 499–511. http://doi.org/10.1007/s10857-008-9089-5